	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/688,410	LI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elvis O. Price	1621
All Participants:	ts: Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Elvis O. Price</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin M. Carroll</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 May 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nnt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
2		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		SO DISCUSSED.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	35 DISCUSSED.
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate a directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate add not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
\mathcal{A}		
A · V		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/688,410

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner pointed out the absence of a period "." at the end of claim 2 and Mr. Carroll stated that the omission of the period was a typographical error. Mr. Carrol authorized the Examiner to place a period at the end of claim 2, so as to place the application in condition for allowance..